Random numbers and the central limit theorem

Alberto García

Javier Junquera



Bibliography



Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002 ISBN 0 521 65314 2

Physical processes with probabilistic character

Certain physical processes do have a probabilistic character

Desintegration of atomic nuclei:

The dynamic (based on Quantum Mechanics) is strictly probabilistic

Brownian movement of a particle in a liquid: We do not know in detail the dynamical variables of all the particles involved in the problem

We need to base our knowledge in new laws that do not rely on dynamical variables with determined values, but with probabilistic distributions

Starting from the probabilistic distribution, it is possible to obtain well defined averages of physical magnitudes, especially if we deal with very large number of particles

The stochastic oracle

Computers are (or at least should be) totally predictible

Given some data and a program to operate with them, the results could be exactly reproduced

But imagine, that we couple our code with a special module that generates randomness

program randomness real :: x do call random_number(x) print "(f10.6)" , x enddo end program randomness

\$<your compiler> -o randomness.x randomness.f90
\$./randomness

The output of the subroutine randomness is a real number, uniformly distributed in the interval [0, 1)

"Anyone who considers arithmetical methods of producing random digits is, of course, in a state of sin." (John von Neumann)

Probability distribution

The subroutine "random number" generates a uniform distribution of real numbers in [0, 1)

The probability of occurrence is given by

 $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } 0 \le x < 1\\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$

This is a continuous probability distribution. It has to be used as a "density", i.e., The probability of having a number in dx is f(x)dx

The probability of obtaining a predefined exact number is 0

Other probability distributions

Discrete distribution

Some probability for a set of $\,N\,$ discrete numbers

The probability of occurrence of a given number is given by

 $p = \frac{1}{N}$

Poison distribution

Gaussian distribution

Important because the central limit theorem

Jacob Bernoulli (1654-1705): Game Theory

- Simple idea: lets define the outcome of an experiment X_i , and the result of the experiment is either $X_i=0$ or $X_i=1$. When I repeat the experiment, I sometimes get $X_i=0$, and sometimes I obtain $X_i=1$
- Let's think of X_i as a coin, which is flipped (head/tail).
- Experiment: flip the coin <u>1000</u> times
- how many "tail", how many "head"?
- Definition of a Probability:

P(head) = N(head) / total P(tail) = N(tail) / total





□ Reference: The Life and Times of the Central Limit Theorem, William J. Adams

Reproduced with permission of Cedric Weber, from King's College London

Flipping many coins ...

- * Now, what if I throw many coins at the same time?
- * Each coin is labeled with an index

* We attribute the value 0 to tail, 1 to head

$$\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7)$$

*

* To describe the outcome of this experiment, we define the variable S_n :

$$S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n$$

- In the experiment above I get: S_n = 3
- * Now, I throw the coins again ...



1

0

Reproduced with permission of Cedric Weber, from King's College London http://nms.kcl.ac.uk/cedric.weber/index.html

Central limit theorem

- * I repeat the experiments many times ... I throw 7 coins, count how many heads I obtain, I do it again
- * I obtain the sequence :
 - * S=3, S=4, S=1, S=7, S=4, S=3, S=4, S=5, S=4, S=0, S=2
- * I count how many times I obtain S=0, how many times I obtain S=1, ..., and how many times I obtain S=7

Question: if I throw the coins 700 times, how many times will you obtain the result S=0? As many times as you obtain S=1, S=2, S=3, S=4, S=5, S=6 and S=7 right?

Reproduced with permission of Cedric Weber, from King's College London http://nms.kcl.ac.uk/cedric.weber/index.html

Number of occurence

Simulating this experiment with a computer

- * This program will be written in the practice session
- Result : The number of S₁, S₂, S₃... S₇ obtained



- This histogram gives the probability P(S) to obtain S, if we divide the number of occurrence of each S_i by the total number of experiments (700 in this case)
- * Who can spot something wrong in the histogram ?

Reproduced with permission of Cedric Weber, from King's College London

Seriously?

Nope, I am not cheating you...

- * Central limit theorem (CLT):
 - * the sum of a large collection of random variables $(S_n = X_1 + ... + X_n)$ is distributed as a binomial law (or gaussian)

$$P(S) = e^{-(S-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)}$$

- * Where μ is called the average and σ the variance
- * First discussed by Abraham De Moivre (1667-1754)
- * **Physical experiment in a laboratory**: There is a large number of unknown parameters which are uncontrolled and contribute to your physical measurement (measure velocity with a timer, ...)
- * <u>CLT</u>: by repeating the same measurement, you will obtain a distribution of results (data), this distribution will a gaussian centered around the average value (<u>μ=your final measurement</u>) and with a given width (<u>σ=your error bars</u>)

Reproduced with permission of Cedric Weber, from King's College London

Gaussian function



Reproduced with permission of Cedric Weber, from King's College London http://nms.kcl.ac.uk/cedric.weber/index.html

Example 1: gambling (Dices)

- Problem to be solved in the practice session
- * Throwing to the casino a pair of dice at the same time
- * Sum of a pair of dice takes values from 2 to 12
- * Where do you put your bet?
 - * S=2 ?
- Rolling many dices :
 Distribution is again gaussian



Reproduced with permission of Cedric Weber, from King's College London

12

Example 2: Galton

- Balls are bumping against many ticks during their fall
- □ Balls are collected at the end of the free fall
- □ Gaussian distribution

http://www.elica.net (software to perform simple model







Reproduced with permission of Cedric Weber, from King's College London http://nms.kcl.ac.uk/cedric.weber/index.html

Example 3: population height

* Distribution of people according to their height is a gaussian as well (top: college male students, bottom: men in black and women in white)









Pr Joiner's students, class of 1975, Penn state

Joiner, B. L. (1975), "Living Histograms," International Statistical Review, 3, 339–340.

Connecticut State College (J. Heredity 5:511–518, 1914).

Reproduced with permission of Cedric Weber, from King's College London

Fuzzy CLT ...

IN FACT, DEMOIVRE'S DISCOVERY ABOUT THE BINOMIAL IS A SPECIAL CASE OF AN EVEN MORE GENERAL RESULT, WHICH HELPS EXPLAIN WHY THE NORMAL IS SO IMPORTANT AND WIDESPREAD IN NATURE. IT IS THIS:



* Larry Gonick, The Cartoon Guide to Statistics, New York,¹⁵NY : Collins Reference / HarperPerennial 1993, p. 83.

Reproduced with permission of Cedric Weber, from King's College London

Standard example of the use of stocastic methods in Applied Mathematics

Problem: compute $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$



Method 1: Discretization

Discretize the horizontal axis, approximate the surface enclosed by the function f(x) by a set of rectangles

 \mathcal{D}

- f(x) = cos(x)Example:
- * width of a rectangle:

* Area=sum of rectangles:

$$x_n = x_0 + n\Delta x$$
$$F_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(x_i)\Delta x$$



7

Reproduced with permission of Cedric Weber, from King's College London

Method 2 : cutting and weighting

- Plot the function f(x) on a piece of paper, from a to b, and from 0 to F_{max}
- Weight the full sheet of paper, we define this as Ws
- Plot the function on a sheet of paper
- Cut the sheet in paper along the function
- Weight the sheet of paper contained between the horizontal axis and the function f(x), we define this as Wf



Reproduced with permission of Cedric Weber, from King's College London http://nms.kcl.ac.uk/cedric.weber/index.html

Method 3: estimation from the average of the function between [a,b]

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = (b-a)\langle f \rangle$$

Assume that we choose N points $\{x_i\}$ randomly distributed between [a, b], then

$$\langle f \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x_i)$$

The larger the number of points, the better the estimation. The typical error Δ in $\langle f \rangle$, in the sense that 63% of the estimations of $\langle f \rangle$ will be between $\langle f \rangle + \Delta$ and $\langle f \rangle - \Delta$

$$\Delta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \left(\langle f^2 \rangle - \langle f \rangle^2 \right)}$$

ere
$$\langle f^2
angle = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[f\left(x_i
ight)
ight]^{2}$$

where

Example of integration: How to estimate π with a needle

Buffon's experiment

If a needle of length l is thrown at random onto a set of equally spaced parallel lines, d apart (where d > l), the probability of the needle crossing a line is



$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{2l}{\pi d}$$

Lazzerini (1901): Spinning round and dropping a needle 3407 times:

 $\pi \approx 3.1415929$

Example of integration: How to estimate π in a rainy day

Let us rephrase the problem: how to estimate the area of a circle of radius 1

A circle centred at the origin and inscribed in a square



A number of trial shots are generated in the square OABC

At each trial two independent random numbers are chosen from a uniform distribution on (0, 1)

These numbers are taken as the coordinates of a point (marked as + in the figure)

The distance from the random point to the origin is calculated

If the distance is less or equal to one, the shot has landed in the shaded region and a hit is scored

If a total of au_{shot} are fired and au_{hit} hits scored, then

 $\pi R^2/4$

 $\frac{\tau_{\rm hit}}{\tau_{\rm shot}} = \frac{\text{Area under the curve CA}}{\text{Area of the square OABC}}$

 $\pi \approx \frac{4 \times \text{Area under the curve CA}}{\text{Area of the square OABC}} = \frac{4\tau_{\text{hit}}}{\tau_{\text{shot}}}$

Example of integration: How to estimate π in a rainy day

In mathematical words, we have estimated the integral

$$\int \int f(x,y) dx dy$$

where the function f(x,y) is

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x^2 + y^2 \le 1\\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In the two-dimensional interval

 $[0,1]\times[0,1]$

Example of integration: How to estimate π from the hit and miss method

program pi

```
! Compute pi by the hit and miss Monte Carlo method
real :: x, y
integer :: n, i
integer :: sum
print *, 'Number of points to use?'
read *, n
sum = 0.0
do i = 1, n
   call random_number(x)
   call random_number(y)
   if (x*x+y*y <= 1.0) sum = sum + 1
enddo
print *, 'pi = ', 4.0 * sum / real(n)
end program pi
```

Example of integration: How to estimate π in a rainy day or with a needle



To gain one significative figure (i.e. to reduce the typical error by one order of magnitude) we should to increase the number of integration points by 100

Why Monte Carlo? "Monte Carlo is an extremely bad method; it should be used only when all alternative methods are worse." Alan Sokal

Monte Carlo methods in statistical mechanics, 1996

The **<u>error</u>** is only shrinking as $1/\sqrt{N}$

Other simple methods for integrations in two dimensions: $1/N^{3/2}$

In more than two dimensions (2D), e.g. in three dimensions (3D) (volume of a sphere,...): $1/N^3/D$

Which method is better for **D=10**? Answer = ... [FILL IN]

Applications to Statistical Mechanics

Fundamental postulate of Statistical Physics: All the microstates of a closed system in equilibrium are equally probable

Closed means that the total energy U, the number of particles N, and the volume V are constant

In thermodynamics, these are the natural variables in the entropic representation $S=S\left(U,V,N\right)$

The fundamental connection between Statistical Mechanics and Thermodynamics The entropy can be computed from the total number of microstates of a system

 $\Omega = \Omega \left(U, V, N \right)$

 $\overline{S(U, V, N)} = k_{\rm B} \ln \Omega(U, V, N)$

Applications to Statistical Mechanics



Boltzmann's grave in the Zentralfriedhof, Vienna, with bust and entropy formula

Any macroscopic physical quantity can be computed as an statistical average over accesible microstates



Therefore, to study the properties of any closed macroscopic system in equilibrium, it should be enough (in principle) to determine all the microscopic states and evaluate the corresponding averages taking only those microstates compatible with the thermodynamic variables $U,\ N$, and V

In practice, it is impossible to find all the microscopic states available

Any macroscopic physical quantity can be computed as an statistical average over accesible microstates



Therefore, to study the properties of any closed macroscopic system in equilibrium, it should be enough (in principle) to determine all the microscopic states and evaluate the corresponding averages taking only those microstates compatible with the thermodynamic variables $U,\ N$, and V

In practice, it is impossible to find all the microscopic states available

Number of possible microstates might be inconceivable large

Let us imagine a system of N spins with two possible states (up or down). How many microstates are possible?

 2^N

With a few dozens of particles, this number might be very, very large

It is impossible to compute these averages, $M=rac{1}{\Omega}\sum_{j=1}^{\Omega}M_j$, exactly

But we can estimate them by a partial sampling of all the possible microstates, in the same way as sociologist prepare the poll. We should employ a non-bias method to sample the configuration space

The Metropolis algorithm

THE JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL PHYSICS

VOLUME 21, NUMBER 6

JUNE, 1953

Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines

NICHOLAS METROPOLIS, ARIANNA W. ROSENBLUTH, MARSHALL N. ROSENBLUTH, AND AUGUSTA H. TELLER, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico

AND

EDWARD TELLER,* Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois (Received March 6, 1953)

A general method, suitable for fast computing machines, for investigating such properties as equations of state for substances consisting of interacting individual molecules is described. The method consists of a modified Monte Carlo integration over configuration space. Results for the two-dimensional rigid-sphere system have been obtained on the Los Alamos MANIAC and are presented here. These results are compared to the free volume equation of state and to a four-term virial coefficient expansion.

Boundary conditions

Typical boundary conditions for the two-dimensional Ising model



Periodic boundary contsitions

Screw periodic

Free edges