

Department of Applied Mathematics and Computational Sciences University of Cantabria UC-CAGD Group



COMPUTER-AIDED GEOMETRIC DESIGN AND COMPUTER GRAPHICS:

ILLUMINATION MODELS

Andrés Iglesias e-mail: iglesias@unican.es Web pages: http://personales.unican.es/iglesias http://etsiso2.macc.unican.es/~cagd



the incident light energy is selectively reduced for all w.l.appears colored



Each point may have several sources of illumination:

direct illumination light arrives straight from the light sources

indirect illumination

light arrives after interacting with the rest of the scene



global illumination algorithms Both kinds of sources are considered

local illumination algorithms Only direct lights are taken into account

Some useful definitions:



- N is the surface normal
- L is the direction to light source
- Vectors N and L are *unit* vectors
- is the angle of incidence

Illumination model 1: Ambient light

Ambient light

- Uniform from all directions
- *K* measures reflectivity of surface for diffuse light (values in the range: 0-1)

$$I = K I$$

Intensity of ambient light

Ambient reflection coefficient

K = 0.6



$$K = 0.7$$
 $K = 0.5$

Illumination model 2: Ambient + diffuse light

Lambert's Cosine Law

incident intensity from a point light source wavelenght diffuse reflection function $(0 \ Ka() \ 1)$ $I_d() = I_1() \ K_d() \ cos() \ 0 \ 2$ intensity of reflected diffuse light

Therefore, the Lambertian illumination model becomes:

$$I() = I_{l}() K_{d}() cos() + K() I()$$

diffuse light ambient light

Illumination model 2: Ambient + diffuse light

In practice, dependence on the wavelength is usually omitted:

$$I = I_{l} K_{d} cos() + K I \qquad 0 \qquad \overline{2}$$

diffuse light ambient light $K + K_{d} < I$

Since N and L are unit vectors, it holds that: $cos() = N_{\bullet}L_{\bullet}$ dot product

$$I = I_{1} K_{d} (N.L) + K I \qquad 0 \qquad \overline{2}$$

diffuse light ambient light $K + K_{d} < I$

© 2001 Andrés Iglesias. See: http://personales.unican.es/iglesias

Illumination model 2: Ambient + diffuse light

Surfaces with a simple Lambertian diffuse reflection appear to have a *dull matte* surface:





- For a perfect reflecting surface (a mirror) the angle of reflection is equal to the angle of incidence
- For smooth surfaces, the spatial distribution of specular light is narrow.
- For rough surfaces, it is spread out.

Illumination model 3: Ambient + diffuse + specular light



If =0, we have a perfect reflecting surface (a mirror). An observer located here sees any specularly reflected light. Otherwise, we have a spatial distribution like:



Illumination model 3: Ambient + diffuse + specular light

Phong Model

Because of the complex physical characteristics of the specular light, an *empirical model* based on taking the function:

$$f()=cos^n()$$

where *n* depends on surface properties. For:

- a perfect reflector, *n*=
- very poor reflector *n*=1
- in practice use 1 n 200



In general, we use: Larger values of *n* for metals and other shiny surfaces Small values of *n* for nonmetallic surfaces (e.g., paper)

Illumination model 3: Ambient + diffuse + specular light

Phong's empirical model controls the size of the specular highlight

incident intensity from a point light source wavelenght reflectance curve

$$I_{s}() = I_{l}() w(i,) cos^{n}()$$

intensity of reflected specular light

w(i,): ratio of the
specularly reflected
light to the incident
light, as a function of
the incidence angle, i,
and the wavelength

Combining this term with model 2:

Total Intensity light

$$) = K () I () + A \\ I_{l}() K_{d}() cos() + I \\ I_{l}() w(i,) cos^{n}() S$$

Ambient light + Diffuse light + Specular light

Illumination model 3: Ambient + diffuse + specular light

In practice, dependence on the wavelength is usually omitted. In addition, w(i,) is a very complex function, so it is replaced by an aesthetically or experimentally determined constant k_s



Illumination model 3: Ambient + diffuse + specular light



Illumination model 3: Ambient + diffuse + specular light

Noting that: the model becomes:

cos() = N.Lcos() = R.V

$$I = K I + I_{l}K_{d}(N.L) + I_{l}K_{s}(R.V)^{n}$$

However, two objects *at different distances* but with *the same orientation* to the light source exhibit *the same intensity*.

The intensity of light decreases inversely as the square of the distance.

Objects farther away appear dimmer !!!!!

$$I = \frac{I_{source}}{4 d^2}$$

d = source distance

In practice, this model produces unrealistic variations in intensity.

Experimental model:

p 2 $I = \frac{I_{\text{source}}}{d^p + K}$

K is an arbitrary constant used to prevent infinite intensity when d=0

Illumination model 4: Ambient + diffuse + specular + attenuation light

Phong, B. T. *Illumination for Computer Generated Images*, Communications of the ACM, Vol. 18, pp. 311-317, 1975.

Phong Model:

$$I = K I + \frac{I_l}{d^p + K} (K_d \cos(\) + K_s \cos^n(\))$$

I = Ambient + attenuation (diffuse + specular)

$$I = K \ I \ + \frac{I_l}{d^p + K} (K_d \ (N.L) + K_s \ (R.V)^n)$$

Individual shading functions are used for each of the three primary colors

Multiple light sources: their effects are to be *linearly added*.

Polygon shading

Until now, we compute the intensity light at a single point on a surface

But, many objects are given by meshes of polygons !!!!!



0

2001 Andrés Iglesias. See: http://personales.unican.es/iglesias



Flat

Polygon shading: Flat shading

Is the simplest method and the most computationally efficient. **But** it is also:

1. Not realistic: it exhibits polygon structure.



Polygon shading: Flat shading

2. *Simultaneous contrast*: an area of constant brightness surrounded by a dark area is perceived to be brighter than the same area surrounded by a light area



3. *Mach banding*: brightness perceived by the eye tends to overshoot at the boundaries of regions of constant intensity



Abrupt changes in the shading of two adjacent polygons are perceived to be even greater

Polygon shading: Gouraud shading

Gouraud, H. *Computer Display of Curved Surfaces*, IEEE Transactions on Comput., C-20, pp. 623-628, 1971.

Given a polygon and a scan-line, the problem is to determine the intensity at an interior point, such as P



1. First compute the intensity values at each polygon vertex

Output: I_A , I_{B_1} , I_{C_1} , I_D

2. Next compute the intensity at points Q and R using linear interpolation

Output: I_Q, I_R

3. Finally, linearly interpolate between I_O and I_R to get intensity at point P

Output: I_P

Polygon shading: Gouraud shading

1. First compute the intensity values at each polygon vertex



Polygon shading: Gouraud shading

2. Next compute the intensity at points Q and R using linear interpolation





- This method yields only to continuity of intensity, but not continuity of changes of intensity => *Mach banding*
- Silhouette edges are still polygonal

Polygon shading: Phong shading

It is similar to Gouraud shading, except we linearly interpolate the *surface normal vector* across the polygon

